



{In Archive} Comments on Draft RI Victor Ketellapper to: Nicole Bein

02/16/2010 04:33 PM

History:

This message has been replied to.

Archive:

This message is being viewed in an archive.

Attached. Not many comments. Good summary of the project.



Libby OU4 DraftRI.VK.doc

Victor Ketellapper, P.E. **USEPA** 1595 Wynkoop St. (8EPR-SR) Denver, CO 80202

(303)312-6578

Comments on the Draft OU-4 Remedial Investigation Report

- 1. Update figures to show current boundaries developed by EPA
 - 2. Prepare a summary table of the number of properties addressed by the different removal action protocols
 - 3. Include a summary table of the property investigations (Total # of Properties, # investigated, # that meet current removal action cleanup criteria, # of properties that LA found at > .2%, # where property investigation was not completed....)
 - 4. Contents for Sections 2.2 and 2.3 change title to "Phase Investigation"
 - 5. Section 1 Introduction Add a sentence that this RI will be amended periodically until the feasibility study is started.
 - 6. Section 1.2 Site Background, 3rd Paragraph. "The other processing Plant (known today as the former Export Plant), was located near downtown Libby near *the intersection of* Kootenai River and Highway 37
 - 7. Section 1.2 Site Background, 4th Paragraph. Change "Grace completed reclamation" to "Grace reclaimed"
 - 8. Section 1.3, Regulatory History. Why is the September 24, 2008 amendment not included in Appendix A?
 - 9. Section 1.4, Operable Unit 4 Site Boundary. Make this discussion specific to OU4. I see there could be some confusion with this language since LA has been transported through environmental mechanisms at OU3. Also, I recommend deleting the reference to the transport of waste by people to Yaak, Eureka, and Kalispell.
 - 10. Section 2.4.1, Soil. Please include a table that describes the Bin classification system.
 - 11. Section 2.17 Remediation Status. Include a sentence discussing that the data in the RI will be used in the FS to evaluate cleanup alternatives.
 - 12. Section 2.20.2.2. The title of this section does not make sense to me. Should this section be titled "Building Demolition".
 - 13. Section 2.20.5. Include a total number of properties on the Table. Also, should we clarify here why the total project property cleanups differ from the OU4 property cleanups?
 - 14. Libby High school. 1st Paragraph. Was LA detection based on PLM-VE?
 - 15. Section 2.21.2 5th paragraph. Where did the sand pails come from? Need background on why sand pails are an important issue.
 - 16. Section 3.2.3. Please identify the animals that are found in packs at the site.